Asian Fisheries Science 17 (2004): 9-19 ISSN: 0116-6514 https://doi.org/10.33997/j.afs.2004.17.1.002

Asian Fisheries Society, Manila, Philippines

# Histamine Level in Fishmeal and Shrimp Feed Marketed in India

B. KENNEDY, I. KARUNASAGAR and I. KARUNASAGAR\*

Department of Fishery Microbiology University of Agricultural Sciences College of Fisheries Mangalore – 575 002, India

# Abstract

Twenty-five samples each of fishmeal and shrimp feed collected from the East and West coasts of India were screened for the level of histamine, total plate count and load of histamine forming bacteria. The average level of histamine in fishmeal samples was 138.2  $\mu$ gg<sup>-1</sup> and in the shrimp feed samples 191  $\mu$ gg<sup>-1</sup>. Levels exceeding 200  $\mu$ gg<sup>-1</sup> were observed in 4/25 samples of fishmeal and 11/25 samples of shrimp feed. The average bacterial load in fishmeal and shrimp feed samples was 1.08 x 10<sup>6</sup> and 1.13 x 10<sup>6</sup> cfu·g<sup>-1</sup> respectively. *Bacillus, Staphylococcus* and *Micrococcus* were the major genera of bacteria isolated. The effect of feeding *Penaeus monodon* larvae with a diet containing histamine on the susceptibility to infection by *V.alginolyticus* was studied. There was no significant difference in the LD<sub>50</sub> between the treated and untreated larvae.

# Introduction

Feed forms an important input in aquaculture and its cost ranges from 30 to 60% of the production cost (Goddard 1996). Availability of good quality feed would be an important factor in deciding the success of the culture. In India, the annual feed requirement for aquaculture is estimated to be  $3x10^5$  tonnes, valued approximately at US\$ 210 million (Paulraj 1999). The occurrence of microbial toxins of both bacterial and fungal origin limits the shelf life and quality of the feed. The ingredients of most Indian feeds include groundnut oil cake, cottonseed cake, soyabean meal and fishmeal. All of these are prone to fungal or bacterial contamination and subsequent toxin production. Histamine is produced by the bacterial decarboxylation of histidine. Numerous bacteria have been reported to possess histidine decarboxylating activity. Members of the family Enterobacteriaceae like *Proteus, Escherichia, Klebsiella, Salmonella* and *Shigella* (Taylor and Speckhard 1983, Subburaj et al. 1984, Basavakumar

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author

1988, Geornaras et al. 1995), *Vibrio* (Yoshinaga and Frank 1982, Middlebrooks et al. 1988, Okuzumi et al. 1990), *Pseudomonas* (Middlebrooks et al. 1988, Lopez et al. 1994), *Lactobacillus* (Maijala 1993, 1994), *Aeromonas* and *Staphyloccocus aureus* (Middlebrooks et al. 1988) and *Photobacterium* (Morii et al. 1986, 1988, Okuzumi et al. 1990) were all reported to produce histamine. Fishmeal prepared from fish like mackerel, sardine and anchovy, is the main sources of histamine in feed.

Histamine toxicity in humans, called 'scombroid poisoning' is well documented (Taylor et al. 1984, Middlebrooks et al. 1988, Veciana-Nogues et al. 1989, Hughes and Potter 1991, Rodriguez et al. 1994, Deng-Fwu et al. 1995). Jeva Shakila and Vasundhara (2002) reported the formation of histamine to significant levels in freshwater fishes like Catla (Catla catla) and Rohu (Labeo rohita). Avian black vomit disease, gizzard erosion, ulceration, proventricular distension and cellular necrosis was observed in chicks fed with diet containing fishmeal with high histamine content (Umemura et al. 1981, Okuzumi et al. 1984, Stuart et al. 1986, Wessels and Post 1989). In aquatic animals, though the effect of histamine toxicity is little studied, some observations of its effects have been recorded. Otake et al. (1978) observed pathological changes in the mackerel stomach caused by injection of histamine. High dietary histamine has been reported to cause growth reduction in fish (Lovell 1989). Fairgrieve et al. (1994) reported distended stomachs and stomach erosion in juvenile rainbow trout and Watanabe et al. (1987) documented the effect of histamine on the formation of gastric lesions in rainbow trout. However, no studies have been done on the effect of histamine on shrimp health. In this context, the present study on the level of histamine in fishmeal and shrimp feed marketed in India was undertaken.

# **Materials and Methods**

### Sample

Fishmeal samples were collected from manufacturers along the coast of Mangalore, Karnataka. Shrimp feed samples were collected from shrimp farms along the East and West coasts of India. The samples were collected in sterile polythene bags. Plate count agar (Himedia, Mumbai) was used for the determination of viable bacterial numbers. Tryptone soy agar (TSA, Himedia, Mumbai) was used for purification of the isolated colonies. Histidine decarboxylating bacteria were enumerated on differential plating medium of Niven et al.(1981) and further purified on TSA.

#### Preparation of sample

A sample of 10 g fishmeal/shrimp feed was homogenised in 90 ml-sterile physiological saline. The homogenate was used for the estimation of total plate count (TPC) by spread plate method and histamine formers count (HFC) by the method described by Niven et al. (1981). The plates were incubated at room temperature for 24 to 48 h. Plates having a bacterial count between 30 to 300 were selected for enumeration. Colonies with varying morphology were picked for further identification.

Bacterial identification was done following the identification scheme for gram positive bacteria (Le Chevallier et al. 1980) and gram negative bacteria (Bain and Shewan 1968, Le Chevallier et al. 1980).

#### Estimation of histamine

The levels of histamine in fishmeal and shrimp feed were estimated using the method of Hardy and Smith (1976). Briefly, the sample extract was prepared by blending 25 g of the sample with 225 ml of trichloroacetic acid (2.5%) for 2 minutes in a homogeniser followed by filtration through Whatman filter paper no.41 and adjusting the pH to 7 using 1N KOH.

Seventy five milliliters of the neutralized extract was added to an Amberlite CG50 (100 to 200 mesh) column and the bound histamine washed with 0.2N acetate buffer (pH 4.63) followed by elution with 25 ml 0.2N HCl. The histamine was diazotized with parabromoaniline and quantified colorimetrically at 495 nm using a spectrophotometer (Shimazdu–UV- 1601, Japan)

# Effect of dietary histamine on the susceptibility of postlarvae to bacterial infection

Two sets of 150 larvae (PL 17 to 20) each were taken in two plastic tubs (stock batch) of 5 l capacity filled with seawater. Larvae in one tub was fed with a test diet containing histamine at a level of 389.4  $\mu$ g·g<sup>-1</sup> and the other fed with the control diet containing negligible histamine (3.4  $\mu$ g·g<sup>-1</sup>). The larvae were fed for 25 days. Larvae were drawn from the stock tubs to study the effect of short term (3 days) and long term (25 days) feeding of histamine on susceptibility of the larvae to *V. alginolyticus* infection. Five sets of ten larvae each from the test and control group were taken in a 1.5 l beaker containing 1 l of UV sterilized seawater and acclimatized for 24 h. A young culture (12 to 18h) of *V. alginolyticus* was inoculated into each beaker at a concentration ranging from 10<sup>4</sup> to 10<sup>7</sup> cfu·ml<sup>-1</sup>. A control consisting of 10 larvae (not challenged with *V. alginolyticus*) was included in both experiments. The larvae were observed for mortality (after 48 h) and LD<sub>50</sub> value was calculated following the method of Reed and Muench (1938).

### Results

#### Histamine level in fishmeal and shrimp feed

Fishmeal: 25 samples were analyzed for histamine and the level ranged from a low of 24.1  $\mu$ g g<sup>-1</sup> to a high of 383.4  $\mu$ g g<sup>-1</sup> (Table 1). The average histamine level was 138.2  $\mu$ g g<sup>-1</sup>. Out of the 25 samples analyzed, four samples showed appreciably high levels of more than 200.0  $\mu$ g g<sup>-1</sup>.

Shrimp feed: 25 samples of shrimp feed were analyzed for histamine level which ranged from 34.0  $\mu$ g g<sup>-1</sup> to 389.4  $\mu$ g g<sup>-1</sup> with an average level of 191.0  $\mu$ g g<sup>-1</sup>. Levels exceeding 200  $\mu$ g g<sup>-1</sup> were observed in 11/25 samples with 7/25 having more than 300  $\mu$ g g<sup>-1</sup>.

#### Total plate count in fishmeal and shrimp feeds

The total plate count (TPC) of the fishmeal samples ranged from 4.5 x  $10^3$  to  $1.33 \times 10^7$  cfu·g<sup>-1</sup> with an average count of  $1.08 \times 10^6$  cfu·g<sup>-1</sup> (Table 1). Sample 13 showed an abnormally high count of > 1.33 x  $10^7$ . The rest had counts of four to six log units.

The TPC in the case of shrimp feeds ranged from 4.0 x  $10^3$  to 6.28 x  $10^6$  cfu<sup>-1</sup>. The average load was estimated to be 1.13 x  $10^6$  cfu<sup>-1</sup>. Six out of 25 samples showed counts above 6 log units, while the rest had counts ranging between four and five log units.

#### Histamine formers count in fishmeal and shrimp feed

The histamine formers count (HFC) in the fishmeal samples, ranged from  $< 1 \times 10^2$  cfu<sup>-</sup>g<sup>-1</sup> to 4.2 x 10<sup>4</sup> cfu<sup>-</sup>g<sup>-1</sup>, with an average count of 6.45 x 10<sup>3</sup> cfu<sup>-</sup>g<sup>-1</sup> (Table 1). The HFC as percentage of total flora varied between 0.1% to 5.86% with an average contribution of 1.45% (Table 1).

Sample		Fish	meal			Shrir	np feed	
No	Histamine Content (μg·g <sup>-1</sup> )	TPC (cfu·g <sup>-1</sup> )	HFC (cfu <sup>.</sup> g <sup>-1</sup> )	HFC as percentage of TPC (%)	Histamine Content (µg·g <sup>-1</sup> )	TPC (cfu·g <sup>-1</sup> )	HFC (cfu <sup>.</sup> g <sup>-1</sup> )	HFC as percentage of TPC (%)
1	111.3	7.53x10 <sup>4</sup>	2.35x10 <sup>2</sup>	0.31	72.3	2.76x10 <sup>5</sup>	3.55x10 <sup>3</sup>	1.28
2	86.4	7.40x10 <sup>4</sup>	8.60x10 <sup>2</sup>	1.16	60.7	$3.05 \times 10^4$	1.95x10 <sup>3</sup>	6.39
3	128.2	8.50x10 <sup>4</sup>	6.70x10 <sup>2</sup>	0.78	208.9	5.45x10 <sup>4</sup>	$4.50 \times 10^2$	0.82
4	130.5	5.00x10 <sup>4</sup>	4.85x10 <sup>2</sup>	0.97	389.4	1.28x10 <sup>5</sup>	2.50x10 <sup>2</sup>	0.19
5	42.4	7.00x10 <sup>3</sup>	$1.00 \times 10^2$	1.42	374.9	1.45x10 <sup>5</sup>	$2.00 \times 10^2$	0.13
6	164.9	3.00x10 <sup>5</sup>	4.45x10 <sup>3</sup>	1.48	386.8	4.00x10 <sup>3</sup>	$1.00 \times 10^2$	2.50
7	158.5	1.20x10 <sup>4</sup>	1.00x10 <sup>2</sup>	0.83	36.9	5.70x10 <sup>4</sup>	5.80x10 <sup>3</sup>	10.17
8	72.3	1.14x10 <sup>6</sup>	5.55x10 <sup>3</sup>	0.48	107.7	3.64x10 <sup>6</sup>	2.97x10 <sup>4</sup>	0.81
9	79.4	1.00x10 <sup>6</sup>	3.25x10 <sup>3</sup>	0.32	88.0	2.96x10 <sup>6</sup>	2.83x10 <sup>4</sup>	0.95
10	133.4	1.71x10 <sup>6</sup>	6.60x10 <sup>3</sup>	0.38	374.0	$1.80 \times 10^4$	$1.00 \times 10^2$	0.55
11	80.3	5.40x10 <sup>5</sup>	6.00x10 <sup>2</sup>	0.11	121.8	3.30x10 <sup>5</sup>	3.05x10 <sup>3</sup>	0.92
12	83.5	1.23x10 <sup>6</sup>	1.25x10 <sup>3</sup>	0.10	122.8	2.80x10 <sup>6</sup>	1.67x10 <sup>4</sup>	0.59
13	24.1	1.33x10 <sup>7</sup>	3.23x10 <sup>4</sup>	0.24	127.8	5.01x10 <sup>5</sup>	3.90x10 <sup>4</sup>	7.77
14	300.6	4.90x10 <sup>5</sup>	5.00x10 <sup>3</sup>	1.02	117.5	1.70x10 <sup>4</sup>	1.35x10 <sup>3</sup>	7.94
15	183.9	1.49x10 <sup>6</sup>	$4.20 \times 10^3$	2.80	34.0	5.45x10 <sup>4</sup>	1.70x10 <sup>4</sup>	3.11
16	114.7	1.68x10 <sup>6</sup>	1.15x10 <sup>3</sup>	0.70	323.8	5.00x10 <sup>5</sup>	3.75x10 <sup>4</sup>	7.50
17	128.4	3.06x10 <sup>5</sup>	4.60x10 <sup>3</sup>	1.50	129.5	8.35x10 <sup>5</sup>	5.35x10 <sup>3</sup>	0.64
18	30.8	9.45x10 <sup>5</sup>	3.74x10 <sup>4</sup>	3.91	102.4	$3.20 \times 10^4$	2.45x10 <sup>3</sup>	7.65
19	40.2	2.30x10 <sup>6</sup>	5.15x10 <sup>3</sup>	0.22	77.4	3.30x10 <sup>4</sup>	2.55x10 <sup>3</sup>	7.72
20	38.8	2.90x10 <sup>4</sup>	1.70x10 <sup>3</sup>	5.86	234.1	8.05x10 <sup>5</sup>	6.00x10 <sup>2</sup>	0.07
21	132.1	1.71x10 <sup>5</sup>	4.25x10 <sup>3</sup>	2.48	238.9	3.05x10 <sup>5</sup>	1.00x10 <sup>1</sup>	0.003
22	127.6	$5.40 \times 10^4$	2.75x10 <sup>3</sup>	5.09	378.6	5.10x10 <sup>6</sup>	1.05x10 <sup>5</sup>	2.05
23	304.8	$2.40 \times 10^4$	$3.40 \times 10^2$	1.41	266.6	4.80x10 <sup>5</sup>	6.15x10 <sup>3</sup>	1.28
24	383.4	$4.50 \times 10^{3}$	1.00x10 <sup>2</sup>	2.22	310.9	6.28x10 <sup>6</sup>	3.20x10 <sup>4</sup>	0.50
25	374.6	6.10x10 <sup>4</sup>	$4.00 \times 10^2$	0.65	92.2	2.88x10 <sup>6</sup>	6.75x10 <sup>3</sup>	0.23
Average	138.2	1.08x10 <sup>6</sup>	6.45x10 <sup>3</sup>	1.45	191.0	1.13x10 <sup>6</sup>	1.32x10 <sup>4</sup>	2.86

Table 1. Results of 25 samples of fishmeal and shrimp feed samples analyzed for histamine content, total plate count (TPC), histamine formers count (HFC) and histamine formers as percentage of total plate count.

# *Generic distribution of bacteria in fishmeal and shrimp feed samples*

Tables 2 and 3 show the bacterial genera identified in the fishmeal and feed samples analyzed. The fishmeal samples had predominantly gram-positive bacteria. *Bacillus* could be detected in 14/15 samples analyzed. The other species detected were *Staphylococcus* (10/15 samples) and *Micrococcus* (6/15 samples). Gram-negative bacteria were detected in 6/15 samples. The flora observed in the feed sample also did not show any great variation in the generic composition. Gram-positive bacteria were the dominant species observed. *Bacillus* was found in 17/19 samples, *Staphylococcus* in 11/19 samples and *Micrococcus* in 9/19 samples. Gram-negative bacteria were found in only 2/19 samples which comprised *Pseudomonas* sp. in one sample and *Moraxella* sp. in the other.

#### Larval experiments

The results of the experiments conducted to study the effect of dietary histamine on the susceptibility of the PL of *P.monodon* to *V. alginolyticus* infection are presented in table 4. Percentage mortality in larvae fed with diet containing histamine was similar to that in the control group. The results were similar in both long term and short term feeding.

# Statistical analysis

No significant relationship was observed between the HFC and histamine levels or between the TPC and histamine levels (Table 5).

# Discussion

Presence of high levels of histamine in feed is undesirable. Histamine levels exceeding 100 mg% induces gizzard erosion and proventricular abnormalities in chicken (Harry et al. 1975, Toyama et al. 1981, Miyazaki and Umemura 1987) and abnormalities of stomach in case of trout (Okuzumi et al. 1984, Watanabe et al. 1987, Fairgrieve et al. 1994). Of the 25 samples of fishmeal analyzed for histamine, 4/25 samples had levels exceeding 30 mg%, which was significant. Presence of high levels of histamine observed in animal and fish feeds could be due to the use of red meat fish such as mackerel and sardine which contain high level of free histidine for preparation of fishmeal. Interestingly, fishmeal samples (Number 1-22, Table 1) mainly manufactured from shrimp waste and Squilla were found to have low levels of histamine as shown by the study. The free histidine content of shrimp

Genus							S	Sample code	le						
	FM1	FM2	FM3	EM1	EM2	RF	НСа	На	G	CS	CNS	MU	BB	B41	B47
Bacillus sp.	20	80	26.8	20	ı	20	85.7	40	20	28.6	38.8	25	60	100	60
Staphylococcus sp.	80	10	6.6		·	66.6	ı	50	53.4	71.4	47.8	55	13.4		,
Micrococcus sp.			66.6	80	100						13.4	20			40
actobacillus sp.								5					20		
Pseudomonas sp.	,	ı				13.4	ı	ı	ı			ı			,
Hafnia sp.		10													
Flavobacterium sp.	,	ı					14.3	ı	ı			·			,
Moraxella sp.									26.6	,			6.6		
Plesiomonas sp.	,	·	,				,	J.						,	,

Table 3. Percentage distribution of bacterial genera in shrimp feed samples (number of colonies picked up for identification, n=30)

Genus									Sa	Sample code	de								
	Su	Vis	Su Vis ST-7 GB3	GB3	AVN	ΓUΧ	IH	ARN	GB	HG	IH	СР	A1	A5	A6	584	582	SD	IH
Bacillus sp.	100	80	6.6	66.6	80	93.3	70	70	100	80	71.6	13.3	54.5	50.2			50	73.4	20.0
Staphylococcus sp.		ı	40	33.4		6.7	30	ı			28.4	56.7	27.7	16.6	ı	100		26.6	60.0
Micrococcus sp.	'	20	53.4	·	6.6		ı	30		13.3	ı	30	17.8	16.6	70		30		13.3
Lactobacillus sp.	'		,	,	13.4	,	,		,	6.7	,	,		16.6	30		,		6.7
Pseudomonas sp.	'								,							,			ı
Hafnia sp.	'	ı	,	,	,	,	,	,	,	,	ı	,			ı	ı	,	,	ı
Flavobacterium sp.	•		•	•	,	•	•	,	•	•	,				,	·	•	ı	ı
Moraxella sp.	•	ı		ı			ı	ı			ı	ı			ı	ı	20		ı
Plesiomonas sp.							,												

14

and Squilla is very low (Lukton and Olcott 1958, Hibiki and Simidu 1959, Shewan 1974) which probably was the reason for the low levels observed. The commercial samples (Nos. 22 to 25), probably manufactured from red meat fish had a high content of histamine as these fish have a high level of histidine in the muscle (Suyama and Yoshizawa 1973, Arnold and Brown 1978, Frank et al. 1981, Pan and James 1985).

The average level of histamine in shrimp feed was found to be 191.0  $\mu g \cdot g^{-1}$ . Levels exceeding 200  $\mu g \cdot g^{-1}$  were observed in 11/25 samples. Histamine content of 200  $\mu g \cdot g^{-1}$  and more is indicative of poor fish handling. In this study, the histamine level was more in feeds than in fishmeal. Since the moisture content of shrimp feed is low (<10%), it is unlikely that histamine is formed after manufacture, and thus suggests that the histamine levels detected might represent the level found in the raw material.

Histamine has been found to be thermally stable (Huss 1993) and can withstand the heating temperature during feed processing. Pike (1991) reported that fishmeal made from stale herring contained 830  $\mu g g^{-1}$  histamine, compared to < 3.0  $\mu g g^{-1}$  histamine in fishmeal made from fresh herring. Fishmeal, when produced from decomposed fish had histamine levels as high as 4800  $\mu g g^{-1}$ , which would result in approximately 2000  $\mu g g^{-1}$  histamine in typical salmonid feed (Pike et al. 1990). Thus, it is important to use good quality raw material in the manufacture of formulated feed.

Fishmeal and shrimp feed are products with low moisture content. Therefore, though bacteria are detected in these products, it is unlikely that these bacteria are active in these products. However, the microflora would assume significance whenever there is bad storage. The total viable count in

Histamine	Mortality at	48 h with di	fferent conce	entration of l	bacteria ml <sup>-1</sup>	LD <sub>50</sub>
concentration (µg·g <sup>-1</sup> )	Control	10 <sup>4</sup>	10 <sup>5</sup>	10 <sup>6</sup>	10 <sup>7</sup>	
3.4 <sup>a</sup>	0	1	3	5	8	2.14x10 <sup>5.82</sup>
3.4 <sup>b</sup>	1	1	3	4	9	2.76x10 <sup>5.89</sup>
389.4 <sup>a</sup>	1	0	2	4	9	2.14x10 <sup>6.09</sup>
389.4 <sup>b</sup>	1	1	2	5	7	2.76x10 <sup>6.0</sup>

Table 4.  $LD_{50}$  value *P. monodon* larvae (10 in each set) challenged with *V.alginolyticus* by immersion.

<sup>a</sup>larvae fed at this level for 3 days

<sup>b</sup>larvae fed at this level for 25 days.

Table 5. Correlation between TPC and histamine level and HFC and histamine level in fishmeal and shrimp feed.

Sample	Parameter	r* (not significant at 5% level)
Fishmeal	TPC Vs Histamine level	0.34
	HFC Vs Histamine level	0.27
Shrimp feed	TPC Vs Histamine level	0.09
-	HFC Vs Histamine level	0.25

\*r - correlation coefficient

the fishmeal samples analyzed averaged 1.08x10<sup>6</sup> cfu<sup>-1</sup>, 13/25 samples had a load exceeding five log units (Table 1). The TPC in the feed samples analyzed had an average level of 1.13x10<sup>6</sup> cfu<sup>-</sup>g<sup>-1</sup>, 16/25 samples had a load exceeding 5 log units. In the fishmeal, the HFC contributed to 0.1% to 5.8% of the total flora. The level did not exceed 4 log units and had an average count of 6.45x10<sup>3</sup> cfu<sup>-</sup>g<sup>-1</sup>, while the histamine level was found to be between 24.1 µg·g<sup>-1</sup> to 383.4 µg·g<sup>-1</sup>. In the shrimp feeds analyzed, the average HFC was 1.32x10<sup>4</sup> cfu g<sup>-1</sup>, contributing a maximum of 10.17% to the total microflora with the histamine level varying from 34.0  $\mu g g^{-1}$  to 389.4  $\mu g g^{-1}$  (Table 1). No correlation was observed between the TPC and the histamine level and between histamine level and HFC in both fishmeal and shrimp feed. Many attempts have been made to correlate histamine levels with bacterial load. Shifrine et al. (1959) showed that histamine content in tuna fish varied from 0 to 20  $\mu$ g·g<sup>-1</sup>, when the bacterial load was as high as 4.6x10<sup>8</sup> cfu·g<sup>-1</sup> to 6.3x10<sup>9</sup> cfu<sup>-g-1</sup>. Subburaj et al. (1984) also did not find a direct relationship between bacterial numbers and histamine level and indicated that the count alone may not be directly related to the level of histamine but may also depend on the generic composition. Nagendra (1987) reported that there was no relation between the two in commercial fish like mackerel and seerfish. Niven et al. (1981) reported that the disadvantage of their medium was the high number of false positives. For example, *Staphylococcus* sp. which is not a histamine producer, was positive in Niven's and modified Niven's media (Rodriguez-Jerez et al. 1994). These could also be contributing to the nonexistence of a relationship between the level of histamine forming bacteria and level of histamine.

#### Generic distribution of bacteria

The most abundant genera in fishmeal samples were Bacillus and Staphylococcus. These were isolated from nearly all samples and contributed about 20 to 100% and 6.6 to 80% respectively of the total species identified. The other genera identified were Micrococcus (11.11 to 100%), Lactobacillus (5 to 20%), Pseudomonas (13.3%), Hafnia (10%), Flavobacterium (14.25), Moraxella (6.6 to 26.66%) and Plesiomonas (5%) (Table 2). In shrimp feed, the histamine formers were dominated by Bacillus (6.6 to 100%), Staphylococcus (6.6 to 100%), Micrococcus sp. (6.6 to 100%), Lactobacillus sp. (6.6 to 13.3%), Pseudomonas sp. (6.6%) and Moraxella sp. (20%) (Table 3). The types of bacteria isolated from both fishmeal and shrimp feed were similar though three genera viz., Hafnia, Flavobacterium and Plesiomonas were not detected in shrimp feeds. The low moisture content and the thermal processing of both samples may have resulted in a low microbial diversity. Rodriguez-Jerez et al. (1994) reported that Bacillus sp. and Staphylococcus sp. isolated by them did not produce histamine, though they were positive on Niven's medium. Basavakumar (1988) however, demonstrated that both Bacillus and Staphylococcus could produce histamine in fresh mackerels. Ameeta and Shetty (1992) and Maijala (1993, 1994) demonstrated the histamine forming ability of Lactobacillus. The histidine decarboxylating ability of Micrococcus sp., *Hafnia* sp., *Pseudomonas* sp., *Moraxella* sp. and *Plesiomonas* sp. is well documented (Basavakumar 1988, Middlebrooks et al. 1988, Okuzumi et al. 1990, Rodriguez-Jerez et al. 1994)

There is limited data on the effect of histamine containing diet on the health of *P. monodon* larvae. In this study, feeding *P. monodon* larvae with a diet containing 389.4  $\mu$ g·g<sup>-1</sup> histamine for 25 days did not increase the susceptibility of larvae to infection with *V. alginolyticus*. Watanabe et al. (1987) in their experiments on rainbow trout reported that histamine at levels of 700  $\mu$ g·g<sup>-1</sup> in diets, increased the rate of dietary protein digestion by stimulating the secretion of pepsin, thereby increasing the rate of feed consumption without having any deleterious effect as seen with higher histamine levels. It is also possible that the quantity of pelleted feed taken by the larvae is very less which would mean the intake of very less quantity of histamine. We also surmise that some of the histamine in the feed may be lost by leaching in water. Further studies are required to understand the effect of histamine in the diet of *P. monodon* larvae and adult animals and to arrive at a hazard level.

#### Conclusion

The histamine levels in shrimp feed and fish meal tested in this study were not very high to cause serious health problems to shrimp. However, the conditions under which the feed would be stored will decide if further decomposition of the feed can occur due to the bacteria present in it. Also, the level of histamine did not make the shrimp susceptible to challenge by *V. alginolyticus*. Further research is needed to ascertain the toxic levels of histamine that would affect the growth and health of shrimp.

#### Acknowledgments

The authors thank the Indian Council of Agricultural Research, Government of India for the financial support.

#### References

- Ameeta, B. and H.S. Shetty. 1992. Microbial level in poultry feed and detection of aflatoxin. B<sub>1</sub> using monoclonal –antibody based enzyme linked immunosorbent assay. Letters in Applied Microbiology 15: 89-91.
- Arnold, S.M. and W.D. Brown. 1978. Histamine toxicity from fish products. In: Advances in Food Research (eds. E.M. Mark and G.K. Stewart), pp 114-154. Chichester, C.O., Academic Press, New York, 24.
- Bain, N. and J.M. Shewan. 1968. Identification of *Aeromonas, Vibrio* and related organisms.
  In: Identification methods for Microbiologists part B (eds. B.M. Gibbs and D.A. Shapton),
  pp 79-84. Sco. Appl. Bacteriol. Technical series No. 2, Academic Press, London.
- Basavakumar, K.V. 1988. Ability of bacteria associated with freshly harvested fish to form histamine, cadavarine and putresciene. M.F.Sc. Thesis, University of Agricultural Sciences, Bangalore.

18

- Deng-Fwu, H., C. Sheng-Hsiung, S. Chyuan-Yuan and C. Chang-Chia. 1995. Biogenic amines in the flesh of sailfish (*Istiophorus platypterus*) responsible for scombroid poisoning. Journal of Food Science 60: 926-928.
- Fairgrieve, W.T., M.S. Myers, R.W. Hardy and F. M.Dong. 1994. Gastric abnormalities in rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) fed amine- supplemented diets or chicken gizzard – erosion – positive fishmeal. Aquaculture 127: 219-232.
- Frank, H.A., D.H. Yoshinaga and W.K. Nip. 1981. Histamine formation and honey-combing during decomposition of skipjack tuna (*Katsuwonus pelamis*), at elevated temperatures. Marine Fisheries Review 43: 9-14.
- Geornaras, I., G.A. Dykes and A.A.Von Holy. 1995. Biogenic amine formation by poultry –associated spoilage and pathogenic bacteria. Letters in Applied Microbiology 21: 164-166.
- Goddard, S. 1996. Feed Management in Intensive Aquaculture. Chapman and Hall and Thomson Publishing, NY, pp. 194.
- Hardy, R. and J.G.M. Smith. 1976. the storage of mackerel (*Scomber scombrus*). Development of histamine and rancidity. Journal of Science of Food and Agriculture 27: 595-599.
- Harry, E.G., J.F. Tucker and A.P. Laursenjones. 1975. The role of histamine and fishmeal in the incidence of gizzard erosion and proventricular abnormalities in the fowls. British Poultry Science 16(1): 69-78.
- Hibiki, S. and W. Simidu. 1959. Studies on putrefaction of aquatic products- 26. Spoilage of fish in the presence of carbohydrates. Bulletin of Japanese Society of Scientific Fisheries 24(11): 913-915.
- Hughes, J.M. and M.E. Potter. 1991. Scombroid-fish poisoning. From pathogenesis to prevention. The New England Journal of Medicine 324: 766-768.
- Huss, H.H. 1993. Assurance of seafood quality. FAO Fisheries Technical Paper. No.334. Rome, FAO. 169 pp.
- Jeya Shakila, R. and T.S. Vasundhara. 2002. Formation of histamine and other biogenic amines during storage of freshwater fish chunks. Asian Fisheries Science 15: 1-6.
- Le Chevallier, M.W., R.J. Seiderl and T.M. Evans. 1980. Enumeration and characterization of standard plate count bacteria in chlorinated and raw water supplies. Applied and Environmental Microbiology 40: 922-923.
- Lopez-Sabater, E.I., J.J. Rodriguez Jerez, M. Hernandez-Herrero and M.T. Mora-Ventura. 1994. Evaluation of histidine decarboxylase activity of bacteria isolated from sardine (*Sardona pilchardus*) by an enzymic method. Letters in Applied Microbiology 19: 70-75.
- Lovell, 1989. Nutrition and feeding of fish . AVI- Book. Van Nostrand Reinhold. New York. pp. 260.
- Lukton, A. and H.S. Olcott. 1958. Content of free imidazole compounds in the muscle tissue of aquatic animals. Food Research 23(6): 611- 618.
- Maijala, R. 1994. Histamine and tyramine production by Lactobacillus strain subjected to external pH decrease. Journal of Food Protection 57: 259-262.
- Maijala, R. 1993. Formation of histamine and tyramine by some lactic acid bacteria in MRSbroth and modified decarboxylation agar. Letters in Applied Microbiology 17: 40-43.
- Middlebrooks, B.L., P.M. Toom, W.L. Douglas, R.E. Harrison and S. McDowell. 1988. Effect of storage time and temperature on the microflora and amine development in Spanish mackerel (*Scomberomorus maculatus*). Journal of Food Science 53(4): 1024-1029.
- Miyazaki, S. and Y. Umemura. 1987. Effects of histamine antagonists, an anticholinergic agent and antacid on gizzard erosions in broiler chicks. British Poultry Science 28: 39-45.
- Morii , H., D.C. Cann and L.Y. Taylor. 1988. Histamine formation by luminous bacteria in mackerel stored at low temperature. Nippon Suissan Gakkaishi 54(2): 299-305.
- Morii, H., D.C. Cann, L.Y. Taylor and C.K. Murray. 1986. Nippon Suissan Gakkaishi 54: 2135-2141.
- Nagendra, T.A.1987. Levels of histamine in some of the commercially important fishes and fishery products. MFSc Thesis, University of Agricultural Sciences, Bangalore.
- Niven, C.F.(Jr.), M.B. Jeffrey and D.A. Corlett (Jr.). 1981. Differential plating medium for quantitative detection of histamine producing bacteria. Applied and Environmental Microbiology 41: 321-322.
- Okuzumi, M., I. Fukumoto and T. Fujii. 1990. Changes in bacterial flora and polyamine contents during storage of horse mackerel meat. Nippon Suissan Gakkaishi 56(8): 1307-1312.
- Okuzumi, M., H. Yamanaka, T. Kubozuka, H. Ozaki and K. Matsubara. 1984. Changes in number of histamine forming bacteria on/in common mackerel stored at various temperatures. Bulletin of Japanese Society of Scientific Fisheries Nissuishi. 50(4): 653-657.
- Otake, S., T. Maeda and K. Fukui. 1978. Nippon Suissan Gakkaishi 43: 477-488.

- Pan, B.S. and D. James (eds). 1985. Histamine in marine products: Production by bacteria, measurement and prediction of formation. FAO Fisheries Technical Paper (252), pp 62.
- Paulraj, R. 1999. Eco-friendly feed and management system for sustainable shrimp culture. Fisheries World pp.13-17.
- Pike, I.H. 1990. Availability of fishmeal and oil for fish farming in the year 2000. Disponidilidades de Harinay aceitede pescado. Chile Pesq No. 59: 28-29.
- Pike, I.H. 1991. Freshness of fish for fishmeal- effect on growth of salmon. In: Fish Nutrition in Practice (ed. Kaushik, S.J. and P. Luquet), INRA, Paris (Les Colloques, n. 61), pp 843-846.
- Pike, I.H., G. Andorsdottir and H. Mundheim. 1990. The role of fishmeal in diets for salmonids. International Association of Fishmeal Manufacturers. No. 24, Hertford shire, U.K, pp. 35.
- Reed, L. V. and H. Muench. 1938. A simple method of estimating 50 percent end points. American Journal of Hygiene 27: 493.
- Rodriguez-Jerez, J.J., M.T. Lopez-Sabater, M.M. Hernandez-Herrero and M.T. Mora-Ventura. 1994. Histamine, Putrescine and Cadaverine formation in Spanish semi-preserved Anchovies as affected by time/temperature. Journal of Food Sciences 59(5): 993-997.
- Shewan, J.M. 1974. The biodeterioration of certain proteinaceous foodstuffs at chill temperatures. In: Industrial aspects of biochemistry (ed. B. Spencer), 475-490, North Holland Publishing Co. for Federation of European Biochemical Societies, Amsterdam.
- Shifrine, M., L.E. Ousterhout, C.R. Gran and R.H. Vanghu. 1959. Toxicity to chicks of histamine, formed during microbial spoilage of tuna. Applied Microbiology 7: 45.
- Stuart, B.P., R.J. Cole, E.R.Waller and P.E. Vesonder. 1986. Proventricular hyperplasia (malabsorption syndrome) in broiler chicks. Journal of Environment, Pathology. Toxicology and Oncology 6: 369-386.
- Subburaj, M., I. Karunasagar and I. Karunasagar. 1984. Incidence of histidine decarboxylating bacteria in fish and market environs. Food Microbiology 1: 263-267.
- Suyama, M. and Y. Yoshizawa. 1973. Free amino acid composition of the skeletal muscle of migratory fish. Bulletin of Japanese Society of Scientific Fisheries 39: 1339 13430
- Taylor, S.L. and M.W. Speckhard. 1983. Isolation of histamine producing bacteria from frozen tuna. Marine Fisheries Review 45: 35-39.
- Taylor, S.L., J.V. Hui and D.E. Lyons. 1984. Toxicology of scombroid poisoning. In: Seafood toxins (ed. Regelis, E.P.), American Chemical Society, Washington, D.C., pp 430-442.
- Toyama, K., M. Okuzumi, T. Yokoi and H. Aoe. 1981. Histamine content of fishmeal. Bulletin of the Japanese Society of Scientific Fisheries 47(3): 415-419.
- Umemura, Y., S. Miyazaki, H. Yamanaka, T. Ohya, S. Homma, M. Oka, S. Sato and T. Nakahara. 1981. Properties of gizzard erosion inducing substance in fishmeal. National Institute of Health (Japan). 21: 52-60.
- Veciana Nogues, M.T., M.C. Vidal Carou and A. Marine –Font. 1989. Histamine and tyramine in preserved and semi-preserved fish products. Journal of Food Science 54(6): 1653-1655.
- Watanabe, T., T.Takeuchi, S. Satoh, K. Toyama and M. Okuzumi. 1987. Effect of dietary histidine or histamine on growth and development of stomach erosion in rainbow trout. Nippon Suissan Gakkaishi 53: 1207-1214.
- Wessels, J.P.H. and B.J. Post. 1989. Effect of heat treatment of fishmeals, fines and the addition of lysine as related to gizzard erosion in chicken. Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture 46: 393-406.
- Yoshinaga, D. H. and H.A. Frank. 1982. Histamine producing bacteria in decomposing skipjack tuna (*Katsuwonus pelamis*). Applied and Environmental Microbiology 44(2): 447-452