Asian Fisheries Science 15(2002): 335-346 ISSN: 0116-6514 https://doi.org/10.33997/j.afs.2002.15.4.005

Asian Fisheries Society, Manila, Philippines

# Analysis of Growth Pattern and Variation in Some Morphometric Characters of Sympatric Hill Stream Teleosts *Barilius bendelisis* and *Barilius vagra*

#### R.S. NEGI<sup>1</sup> and P. NAUTIYAL<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>National Bureau of Fish Genetic Resources Canal Ring Road, Dilkusha Lucknow, 226002 India

<sup>2</sup>Department of Zoology University of Allahabad Allahabad 211002 India

#### Abstract

In male and female *Barilius bendelisis* and *Barilius vagra* parameters showing most significant linear regression in relation to total length were snout length and least depth of caudal peduncle. The body parameters standard length and predorsal length were observed to be the least significant in both male and female *B. bendelisis* and *B. vagra*. In male and female *B. bendelisis* and *B. vagra* parameters showing most significant linear regression in relation to head length were length of rostral barbel and length of maxillary barbel while head depth and postorbital distance were observed to show least significant linear regression. These also grow in linear fashion but rate is relatively lower. Certain body proportions of the males and females of *B. bendelisis* and *B. vagra* differed but not significantly. On the basis of morphometric analysis, *B. bendelisis* with 54.5% of genetically controlled characters in males and 50% in females shows relatively wider distribution and greater tendency of subspeciation than *B. vagra* with 63.9% genetically controlled characters in males.

## Introduction

Two closely related species may have overlapping ranges. Where two morphologically similar species occur together in an area of overlap, the populations are more divergent and easily distinguished, i.e. they "displace" one another in one or more characters. The characters involved can be morphological, ecological, behavioral, or physiological; they are assumed to be genetically based (Brown and Wilson 1956). The cause of variation in the morphometric and meristic characters may range from genetic variability to the influence of environmental parameters (Hubbs 1921, Vladykov 1934, McHugh 1954). This

happens because some body parts tend to grow at different rates under varying environmental conditions. The role of environmental and other parameters (habitat temperature, elevation, slope, gradient, stream velocity, food productivity, length, sex, and age) has also been demonstrated (Hubbs 1926, Barlow 1961, Hampel and Blaxter 1963, Hopkirk 1973, Kirka 1974).

In view of the paucity of information on morphometric and meristic characters of hill stream teleosts *B. bendelisis* and *B. vagra*, a study was conducted on both species and their sex to analyze the similarities and differences in growth patterns and variations in their body measurements. Tendency of speciation in respective populations was also tested. In Garhwal region such studies have been performed for the catfish *Pseudecheneis sulcatus* (McClelland) (Singh and Dobriyal 1983), *Noemacheilus montanus* (McClelland) (Dobriyal and Bahuguna 1987), *Schizothorax richardsonii* and *S. plagiostomus* (Pandey and Nautiyal 1997) and *T. putitora* Hamilton (Bhatt et al. 1998). In India, a number of studies have been performed on this subject for marine and few freshwater fishes (Pillay 1957, Sarojini 1957, Tandon 1962, Chaterjee et al. 1977, Khumar 1985, Tamubi Devi et al. 1991).

## **Materials and Methods**

Fishes were obtained by regular sampling at monthly intervals for one year, January to December 1997 in the Khanda, a lesser Himalayan stream.

This springfed stream is a small tributary of the glacierfed river Alaknanda in the lesser Himalaya. The stream flows in a north-west direction from an elevation of 2143 m and meets the Alaknanda at Billokedar (520 m). The Khanda basin lies between latitudes 30° 6' 42" to 30° 13' 23" N and longitude 78° 41' 48" to 79° 5' 4" E covering an area of 96.7 sq. km. The stream is named Khanda Gad after the confluence of two parent streams, the Kathal syun (Nayal) Gad and the Nandal Gad at 720 m, 1 km upstream of the Khan da Chatti (Fig.1). Thus, the stream Khanda Gad is a first to six order stream for most of its (~29 km) length. The fishes *B. bendelisis* and *B.* vagra were collected using the trap method and fixed in 10% formalin. after giving an abdominal incision. A total of 272 B. bendelisis (142 male and 130 female) and 75 B. vagra (42 male and 33 female) were obtained in 12 months.



Fig. 1a. Location of Garhwal Himalaya Fig. 1b. Major rivers of Garhwal Himalaya Fig. 1c. The Khanda Gad system and its confluence with the Alaknanda

Based on the descriptions of Srivastava (1992) and Jayaram (1981), we recorded 14 and 8 morphometric measurements of total and head dimensions, respectively for each of the two species, *B. bendelisis* and *B. vagra* (Fig. 2). The variables PsDL, BD, SL, LPF, LDF, LCP, HL, DisPP, LAF, LCF, PrDL, LPelF, LDCP and SnL were examined in relation to total length (Fig. 2). The parameters considered in respect to head length were HD, HW, POD, PrOD, ED, IOD, LMB and LRB (Fig. 2).

These measurements were subjected to correlation and regression analyses. The coefficient of correlation (r) and regression (b) were tested for significance. The data were then used to compute the regression equation for each dependent variable (Y1 to Y22) to fit the straight line equation (Y = a+bx), where Y is the dependent variable 'a', the intercept 'b' the slope of the regression line and x, the dependent variable.

The ratios between several body dimensions, in relation to total and head length were also computed separately for males and females for each species. Mean  $\pm$  standard error was obtained for each variable. They were then tested for significance by performing single factor ANOVA using the Data Analysis Tool Pak of MS EXCEL (Windows 98). The program took care of the unequal sample size. The various morphometeric characters were then classified on the basis of range into genetically (<10%), intermediate (10 to 15%) and environmentally (>15%) controlled characters (Johal et al. 1994). The classification of morphometric characters into genetic, environmental and intermediate was adopted in order to compare the morphometry of the *B. bendelisis* and *B. vagra* in the stream environment and ascertain distribution pattern.

## Results



Fig. 2. Outline diagram showing morphometric features of *Barilius* selected for the study. AI-Total length (TL); FH-Post dorsal length (PsDL); V- Body depth (BD); AH - Standard length (SL); PR-Length of pelvic fin (LPeIF), EF-Lenth of dorsal fin (LDF); AD-Head Length (D); OP-Distance between pectoral and pelvic fin (DisPP); ST - Length of anal fin (LAF); W - Length of caudal peduncle (LCP); AE-pre dorsal length (PrDL); NQ-Length of pectoral fin (LPF); FH-Least depth of caudal peduncle (LDCP); AB- Snout length (SnL); U-Head depth (HD); CD-Post orbital distance (PsOD); AB-Pre orbital distance (PrOD); BC-Eye diameter (ED); X-Interorbital distance (IOD); LM-Length of maxillary barbell (LMB); JK-Length of rostral barbell (LRB)

Various body measurements related to total and head length of sympatric *B. bendelisis* and *B.* vagra were compared on the basis of statistic 'r' (correlation coefficient), b (regression coefficient) and F (one way analysis of variance. ANOVA). The mean body measurements of both species have been compared in Fig. 3. In both males and females of B. bendelisis and B. vagra. the most highly correlated body parameters in relation to total length, were standard length and predorsal length (Table 1).

Difference was observed in the least correlated parameter, being length of anal fin in male and female *B. bendelisis* while post dorsal length in *B. vagra*. However,

HIL

the highest correlation for standard length was recorded in males, especially B. bendelisis. In females, the highest correlation was recorded for *B. bendelisis* also. This was equally true for postdorsal length. The body measurements, head depth and preorbital distance in relation to head length of male B. bendelisis while postorbital distance and head depth in *B. vagra* were the most highly correlated parameters. The body measurements postorbital distance and preorbital distance in female *B. bendelisis*, while preorbital distance and head depth in female *B. vagra*, were the most highly correlated parameters.

Fig. 3. Body measurements (cm) and their ratios in sympatric *Barilius* spp. (The left has data for *B. bendelisis* and the right half for *B. vagra*)

Body parts	Ma	le	Female		
In relation to total length	Barilius bendelisis (N =142)	Barilius vagra (N =42)	Barilius bendelisis (N =130)	<i>Barilius vagra</i> (N =33)	
PsDL	314.89	157.98	401.20	207.0767	
BD	538.70	270.4	676.21	347.4265	
SL	18.27	7.985	23.87	10.5935	
LPF	588.07	282.98	747.36	379.7747	
LDF	676.60	331.76	854.70	435.4993	
LCP	594.91	288.2799	754.15	382.3796	
HL	497.05	243.7299	623.89	316.6202	
DisPP	596.47	271.1113	725.48	337.2143	
LAF	682.86	337.5549	870.28	442.3744	
LCF	527.02	265.6885	664.65	345.2838	
PrDL	196.32	96.6897	247.66	121.3337	
LpelF	667.75	323.6727	848.57	427.8826	
LDCP*	695.41	340.5808	876.77	442.7437	
SnL*	733.28	356.2998	924.73	485.8888	
In relation to he	ead length				
HD	72.25	38.07912	108.11	43.1252	
HW	226.65	106.259	302.29	116.8957	
PsOD	195.97	95.9407	255.88	99.9634	
PrOD	395.24	207.0272	542.72	219.267	
ED	497.64	268.0194	663.68	280.7659	
IOD	353.17	198.0964	494.15	207.3477	
LMB*	765.98	406.5425	1037.24	423.6945	
LRB*	792.02	412.764	1068.39	429.0701	

Table 1. Regression analysis (ANOVA - F) of various body parts in relation to total length and head length (parameters showing most significant linear growth in bold and marked with asterik). The abbreviations are shown in figure 2.

Coefficient of regression indicated that the males of *B. bendelisis* and *B. vagra* as compared with the females had the highest values for standard length followed by predorsal length in relation to total length, a pattern similar to that exhibited by correlation coefficient. In relation to head length, head depth was the parameter with the highest value and hence the highest growth in males and females of the sympatric *B. bendelisis* and *B. vagra*. The least observed values had no similarity. In male *B. bendelisis* length of maxillary barbel while in *B. vagra* length of rostral barbel were observed in this category of parameters showing slow rate of growth in relation to total and head length.

All body measurements were found to grow in a linear fashion (Table 2). In male and female *B. bendelisis* and *B. vagra* parameters showing the most significant linear regression in relation to total length were snout length and least depth of caudal peduncle. The body parameters standard length and predorsal length were observed to be the least significant in both male and female *B. bendelisis* and *B. vagra*. In male and female *B. bendelisis* and *B. vagra* parameters showing the most significant linear regression in relation to head length were length of rostral barbel and length of maxillary barbel while head depth and postorbital distance exhibited the least significant linear regression. These also grow in a linear fashion but rate is relatively lower.

ANOVA indicated that the body ratios of male and female *bendelisis* did not differ significantly (Table 3). It was also true for *vagra*. The mean ratios of *B. bendelisis* and *B. vagra* were compared in figure 3. On performing single factor

Body parts		Male		Female			
In relation	В.	В.	В.	В.			
to total	bendelisis	vagra	bendelisis	vagra			
length	(N =142)	(N =42)	(N =130)	(N =33)			
PsDL	0.3429x +-0.0545	0.3685x+0.1348	0.3334x +0.0885	0.3312x +0.0979			
BD	0.2006x + 0.1126	0.1838x + 0.0808	0.2027x + 0.109	0.2127x + 0.2451			
SL	0.8163x +0.0414	0.8352x +0.1193	0.8158x + 0.0548	0.8348x +0.1156			
LPF	0.1591x + 0.0416	0.1702x + 0.1016	0.152x + 0.0192	0.1345x + 0.0841			
LDF	0.1188x +0.1412	0.1064x + 0.0895	0.1093x + 0.0815	0.1191x + 0.1816			
LCP	0.1482x + 0.0023	0.1648x +0.1136	0.1372x + 0.051	0.1414x + 0.0162			
HL	0.2058x +0.0503	0.1919x +0.1407	0.1982x + 0.0959	0.209x + 0.0156			
DisPP	0.1366x +0.073	0.1539x +0.1423	0.1607x + 0.10048	0.1848x +0.0315			
LAF	0.1048x +0.0726	0.106x + 0.1401	0.0808x + 0.044	0.0926x + 0.048			
LCF	0.1773x +0.1063	0.161x + 0.1472	0.175x + 0.1044	0.1622x + 0.1306			
PrDL	0.4707x +0.032	0.4647x + 0.01	0.4715x +0.0406	0.4869x + 0.1121			
LpelF	0.1083x +0.0338	0.1106x +0.0432	0.0996x +0.0043	0.0846x + 0.1			
LDCP	0.0865x + 0.0006	0.0761x +0.0599	0.0845x + 0.0044	0.0761x + 0.064			
SnL	0.069x + 0.0352	0.0688x + 0.035	0.0666x +0.0257	0.0647x +0.008			
In relation to	o head length						
HD	0.6465x + 0.0142	0.1702x +0.0133	0.x + 0.	0.1793x +0.1793			
HW	0.4593x +0.0302	0.1322x + 0.0846	0.x + 0.	0.1307x +0.072			
PsOD	0.4626x +0.0189	0.115x +0.0742	0.1174x +0.0537	0.1206x +0.045			
PrOD	0.3232x + 0.0353	0.082x + 0.03	0.0785x + 0.0028	0.0894x + 0.068			
ED	0.1768x +0.0778	0.0374x +0.1268	0.050x +0.0597	0.046x +0.0702			
IOD	0.3057x +0.041	0.0778x +0.019	0.062x +0.1197	0.0894x + 0.043			
LMB	0.0564x + 0.0157	0.0118x + 0.0305	0.0154x + 0.0119	0.0171x + 0.004			

Table 2. Linear regression equation of some body measurements in relation to total length and head length of sympatric *B. bendelisis* and *B. vagra*.

340

ANOVA between body proportions of *bendelisis* and *vagra* none were found to differ significantly. However, on applying ANOVA to four body proportions that seemed to differ, body depth, distance between pectoral and pelvic fin and length of maxillary barbel differed significantly in these sympatric species. Length of rostral barbel did not differ significantly (Table 3).

In male *B. bendelisis* genetically controlled characters comprised 54.54% while intermediate and environment controlled characters were 18.18% and 27.27%, respectively. In male *B. vagra* genetically controlled characters were 63.63% while intermediate and environmental controlled characters were 9.09% and 27.27%, respectively. In female *B. bendelisis* genetically controlled characters were 22.73% and 27.27%, respectively. In female *B. vagra* 59.09% were genetically controlled characters were 22.73% and 27.27%, respectively. In female *B. vagra* 59.09% were genetically controlled characters while 22.73% and 18.18% were intermediate and environmentally controlled characters, respectively (Table 4).

#### Discussion

Brown and Wilson (1956) stated that when two species occur together in the area of overlap, the populations are more divergent and easily distinguished, i.e. they "displace" one another in one or more characters. The character involved can be morphological, ecological, behavioral, or physiological; they are assumed to be genetically based. The morphological [morphometric and meristic] characters of *B. bendelisis* (Hamilton) and *B. vagra* (Hamilton) were classified into three categories proposed by Vladykov (1934) to determine the characters more prone to variation (environmental characters). Hence, a parameter increasing in a rapid linear fashion in one environment tends to increase in a slow linear pattern in another environment.

All body measurements exhibited a linear pattern of growth. Snout length and least depth of caudal peduncle showed rapid linear increment in relation to total length, while standard length and predorsal length showed less linear growth for male and female *B. bendelisis* and *B. vagra*. Length of maxillary barbel and length of rostral barbel showed rapid linear growth in relation to head length. HD showed slow linear growth in relation to head length of both male and female *B.bendelisis* and *B.vagra*. Linear relationship of body characters of both males and females with the total length of the fish was observed

Table 3. Statistics of single factor ANOVA to determine the differences in body proportions
and different body parts of sympatric B. bendelisis and B. vagra

Parameters	F	F crit (P 0.05)	Р
B. bendelisis (M & F); $N = 272$	0.004	4.07	0.95
<i>B.</i> vagra (M & F); $N = 75$	0.00462	4.04	0.982
B. bendelisis vs B. vagra	0.00004	4.04	0.984
BD vs TL	8.3037	3.8685	0.0042
DisPP vs TL	150.594	3.8685	0.000
LCF vs TL	44.346	3.8685	0.000
LRB vs HL	0.9490	3.8713	0.3303
LMB vs HL	3.7430	3.8685	0.0538

by Chaterjee et al. (1977) in *Labeo bata*, Singh and Dobriyal (1983) in *Pseudecheneis sulcatus* (McClelland), Khumar (1985) in *L. calbasu*, Dobriyal and Bahuguna (1987) in *Noemacheilus montanus* (McClelland), Tamubi Devi et al. (1991) in *Rita rita*, Pandey and Nautiyal (1997) in *Schizothorax richardsonii* and *S. plagiostomus* and Bhatt et al. (1998) in *T. putitora* (Hamilton) in the foothill section of the river Ganga.

As far as the male and female *B. bendelisis* are concerned, the body ratios which differed (though not statistically) in relation to TL and HL were LPF, LAF, LCF, LDF, DisPP, PrDL, SnL, HW, PsOD, LMB, and LRB (Fig. 2). In the case of *B. vagra* LPF, LPeIF, LAF, LCF, LDF, LCP, DisPP, HL, PsDL, SnL, LDCP, HW, PsOD, PrOD, IOD, LMB and LRB (Fig. 2) differed in males and females. The differences, however, were not statistically significant. The meristic features barbels; fin rays, vertical bars, lateral line scales and predorsal lateral line scales did not vary in males and females of *B. vagra*.

Characters	Male (N=142) <i>B. bendelisis</i>		Female (N = 130) <i>B. bendelisis</i>		Male (N =42) <i>B. vagra</i>		Female (N=33) <i>B. vagra</i>	
	Body parts	Range diff.	Body parts	Range diff.	Body parts	Range diff.	Body parts	Range diff.
In relation to to	tal lengt	th						
Intermediate	PsDL	13.67	PsDL	13.34	PsDL	13.18	PsDL	10.32
characters	BD	11.25	BD	11.25	HL	10.62		
	SL	11.75	HL	11.82				
	LPF	11.15	SL	10.99				
			LPF	10.91				
Genetic	LDF	9.61	PrDL	9.61	BD	8.23	HL	9.02
characters	LCP	9.33	LDF	8.39	PrDL	7.43	BD	8.60
	HL	8.29	LCP	7.91	DisPP	7.37	SL	7.59
	DisPP	8.28	LAF LCF	7.14	LCF	6.32	LCF	6.65
	LAF	8.05	DisPP	6.82	LDF	5.69	DisPP	6.43
	LCF	7.94	LPelF	6.52	LAF	5.69	LPelF	6.14
	PrDL	6.67	LDCP	5.83	SL	5.04	PrDL	5.69
	LPelF	5.72	SnL	5.7	LPF	4.85	LCP	5.55
	LDCP	5.1	5112	4.47	LCP	4.30	LPF	5.27
	SnL	4.67		1.17	LPelF	3.57	LAF	4.70
	SIL	1.07			SnL	3.19	LDF	4.70
					LDCP	3.13	SnL	3.85
					LDUI	0.10	LDCP	3.66
In relation to H	lead leng	gth						0.00
Environmental	HD	41.07	HD	36.11	HD	28.8	HD	21.29
characters	HW	34.1	HW	34.64	ED	27.96	PsOD	20.30
	PsoD	29.17	PsoD	29.12	HW	25.56	HW	17.00
	ProD	16.66	ProD	21.8	PsoD	17.89	PrOD	15.29
	ED	19.05	IOD	19.4	IOD	16.40		
	IOD	16.45	ED	19.05	ProD	15.78		
Genetic	LMB	6.45	LRB	7.3	LRB	11.78		
characters	LRB	9.8	LMB	9.4	LMB	11.16		
Intermediate							ED	14.20
characters							IOD	13.46
							LRB	11.61
							LMB	10.83

Table 4. Range differences in body parts of male and female B. bendelisis and B. vagra

A large number of body proportions were similar in sexes of both species. The body proportions BD, LCP, HL, LAF, PrDL, LDCP, PsDL, PsOD, HW, ED and SL (Fig. 2) were similar in males of *B. bendelisis* and *B. vagra*. Hence, those different were LMB, LRB, IOD, HD and PrOD in relation to HL while DisPP, LCF, SnL, LPF, LPelF and LDF in relation to TL (Fig. 2) were not statistically significant. Similarly, among females of B. bendelisis and B. vagra some of the body proportions in relation to total and head length showed significant differences. They were BD, LPF, LCP, DisPP, HL, LAF, LCF, PrDL, LDCP, SnL, PsDL, LDF, HD, HW, IOD, LMB and LRB (Fig. 2). Hence, in the sympatric females 17 out of 22 characters were different indicating greater difference in females compared to the males where only 11 characters were different (not tested for statistical significance), nevertheless. This appears to be one of the strategies to avoid competition in sympatric species of Barilius by ensuring successful breeding through proper recognition of female counterparts. The body ratios of B. bendelisis and B. vagra in relation to total length differed largely for body depth, distance between pectoral and pelvic fin and lengh of caudal fin. Slight differences were obtained for length of pectoral fin, length of dorsal fin, snout length, postdorsal length, standard length, length of caudal peduncle and head length. The body proportions showing considerable difference in relation to head length were interorbital distance, length of maxillary barbel and length of rostral barbel. Those showing slight differences were head depth, head width, postorbital distance and preorbital distances. No difference was observed for eye diameter. These differences were not statistically significant (Table 3).

The parameters length of maxillary barbel followed by length of rostral barbel showed maximum difference. Coming next in descending order of importance were distance between pectoral and pelvic fin, length of caudal fin and body depth. In *B. bendelisis* length of maxillary barbel proportion varied from 15 to 20 while in *B. vagra* from 16.1 to 16.3. Similarly for length of rostral barbel, it was 20.5 to 20.6 in bendelisis and 17.2 to 19.5 in B.vagra. In the case of distance between pectoral and pelvic fin the proportions recorded for *B. bendelisis* were 6.3 to 6.7 and for B.vagra from 5.3 to 6.3 in B. bendelisis and 5.2 to 5.4 in B. vagra. The body depth varied from 5.6 in *B. bendelisis* to 5.9 in *B. vagra*. Female *B.* bendelisis revealed lack of significant difference in them. It was also true when male and female B. vagra were compared. On performing single factor ANOVA on each of the five body proportions individually, barring length of rostral barbel, the body depth, length of maxillary barbel, distance between pectoral and pelvic fin and length of caudal fin differed significantly (P>0.05). It suggested that the proportions of four of these parameters varied significantly among *B. bendelisis* and B. vagra. The study of body proportions thus brings out definite demarcation in the morphology of *B. bendelisis* and *B. vagra*.

Day (1878) reported a greater proportion of length of caudal fin (5 to  $5^{1/2}$ ) in *B. bendelisis* than in *B. vagra*. In the present study the ratio of distance between pectoral and pelvic fin and length of caudal fin were also higher in *B. bendelisis* compared to *B. vagra*, while body depth was higher in *B. vagra* (5.9) than in *B. bendelisis* (5.6). The body depth of *B. bendelisis* ( $4^{1/4}$ ) was less (5 to 6) than *B. vagra*. Talwar and Jhingran (1991) also indicated the proportion of body depth in head length. According to him it was less (3.8 to 4.2) in *B. bendelisis* than (4.5

to 4.6) in *B. vagra*. The implications of body depth are manifested in the body profile of these two species. *B. vagra* has a distinct horizontal profile dorsally and deeply convex, ventrally. Owing to this body depth is more in *vagra*. In *B. bendelisis* the dorsal as well as the ventral profile were convex, not so deep as in *B. vagra*. In addition to these morphological peculiarities, the position of the mouth in *B. bendelisis* was terminal while in *B. vagra* it was superior, curved upwards.

Badola et al (1982) described sexual dimorphism in *B. bendelisis* collected from the River Nayar of Garhwal Himalaya. The fish exhibit marked sexual dimorphism in breeding season and other dimorphic characters throughout the year. They took some characters for differentiating male and female individuals such as color, size, tubercles, fins, branchiostegal rays, caudal peduncle and sex ratio as also observed in the present study. They found two varieties 'Cocsa' and 'Chedra', which appear to be the sexually different forms of the same species, 'Cocsa' the female and 'Chedra' the male *B. bendelisis*. Godsil (1948) and Marr (1955) said that the ratio between various body parts and increase in length at different stages of life might not exhibit constant relative growth. Pritchard (1931) and Tandon (1962) stated that the ratio between various parts of males and females in the fish species studied by them were different.

Based on range differences, the morphological characters were categorized into genetic, intermediate and environmental characters. In *B. bendelisis* among the 22 variables selected for the study, 12 were found to be genetic, 4 were intermediate and 6 were environmental. Except for head length, an intermediate character for female and genetic for male *B. bendelisis*, all other showed no differences on sexual basis. The reverse was true for *B. vagra* where HL was an intermediate character in male and genetic in female. *B. vagra* seems to be more versatile as discussed later. In *B. bendelisis* the genetic characters included LDF, LAF, LCF, LPelF, HL, DisPP, PrDL (Fig. 2), along with the fast growing least depth of caudal peduncle and snout length and slow growing predorsal length while length of maxillary barbel and length of pectoral fin, postdorsal length, body depth and standard length while environmental ones were HD, HW, PsOD, PrOD, ED and IOD (Fig. 2), all from the head region. These characters tend to vary with environment.

In *B. vagra* considerable difference occurred in males and females. Twelve genetic characters common to both sexes were LCF, LDF, LAF, LEF, LPelF, BD, LDCP, PrDL, DisPP, SnL, LCP and SL (Fig. 2). In males, additional genetic characters were length of maxillary barbel and length of rostral barbel. They were intermediate in females along with interorbital distance and eye diameter. In this category postdorsal length was common to both sexes. In males head length, as already stated above, was an intermediate character. Thus, a total of 5 characters were intermediate in females and only 2 in males. The environmental characters common to both sexes were head depth, head width, postorbital distance and preorbital distance. Those specific to males, were eye diameter and interorbital distance. The only difference between *B. bendelisis* and *B. vagra* was in the intermediate characters. Barring postdorsal length, which is common in species standard length, body depth

and length of pelvic fin, were found in the former, head length in males while interorbital distance and eye diameter in females.

Based on morphometric analysis, *B. bendelisis* with 54.5% of genetically controlled characters in males and 50% in females shows relatively wider distribution and greater tendency of subspeciation than *B. vagra* with 63.9% in males and 59.1% in females. In both spp. the share of genetically controlled characters were high while that of environmentally controlled characters were low (18.2 to 27.3%). Vladykov (1934) maintains that in fish species showing restricted distribution, the majorities of morphometric characters show a narrow range and are genetically controlled. On the contrary, in species that have a wide range of geographical distribution, most of the characters are strongly influenced by the environment. Based on this criterion *B. bendelisis* and *B. vagra* have restricted zoogeographical distribution because the majority (50 to 63.9%) of their morphometric characters show narrow range differences and are genetically controlled. The environmentally controlled characters are few (18.2 to 27.3%).

Jayaram (1981) described the distribution of *Barilius* spp. throughout India, Pakistan, Nepal, Bangladesh, Sri Lanka and Burma to Malaysia. *Barilius* species are also found in Egypt, West Africa, and Zambesi River. According to Talwar and Jhingran (1991), *B. bendelisis* and *B. vagra* are distributed throughout India, Pakistan, Nepal, Bangladesh, Sri Lanka and Burma and inhabit streams and rivers along the base of hills. According to Srivastava (1992), *Barilius* spp. occurs only in the Oriental region while *B. bendelisis* is distributed in Assam and Himalaya through the continent of India as far as the Western Ghats not recorded from Malabar or Canara, or from Sind.

Among the Oriental fishes showing similar distribution, Tandon et al. (1993 a,b) studied *Cirrhinus reba* (Hamilton), *Tor putitora* (Hamilton) from Gobind Sagar Reservoir and Bhatt et al. (1998) studied *Tor putitora* from the foothill section of the Ganga. In *C. reba* 14 out of 19 and in *G. chapra* 13 out of 18 morphometric characters exhibited wide range differences and were hence environmentally controlled. According to the criterion of Vladykov they fall in the category of fish showing wide distribution. On the contrary in *Tor putitora* restricted to Himalayan foothills, 13 out of 27 characters were genetically controlled, showing narrow range difference, 9 were environmental characters in Gobind Sagar reservoir stock, 12 out of 20 were genetically controlled while 3 were environmentally controlled in the population inhabiting the foothill section of the Ganga.

These observations support the hypothesis of Vladykov, that fishes with restricted distribution have greater numbers of genetic characters, as was also evident in *B. bendelisis* and *B. vagra*. Of the 22 morphometric characters examined in their males and females, 11 to 14 were in the category of genetically controlled. This suggests that the above mentioned species of *Tor* and *Barilius* are more restricted in distribution as compared to *C. reba* and *G. chapra* and the tendency of subspeciation is low. Tandon et al. (1993a) states that Pivnicka and Hensel (1978) reported seven subspecies of *Thymallus thymallus* from Europe, Siberia, Alaska and Canada. Obviously, it is a species with distribution across the globe (Nearctic and Palaearctic) as compared with *B. bendelisis* and *vagra*, restricted primarily to the Oriental region.

Tandon et al. (1993 a,b) demonstrated that 50% of the morphometric characters of *C. reba* were in the intermediate category while those of *Hypophthalmichthys molitrics* from Gobindsagar had few intermediate characters. Quite a few of them had wide ranging body proportions (eye diameter, preorbital distance, post orbital distance and inter orbital distance) and thus plastic characters suitable for racial analysis. They maintain that in India only a few species have been subjected to such studies (Tandon et al. 1989).

#### Conclusions

All body measurements exhibited a linear pattern of growth. Snout length and least depth of caudal peduncle showed rapid linear increment in relation to total length of *B. bendelisis* and *B. vagra*. Length of maxillary barbel and rostral barbel showed rapid linear growth in relation to head length. Certain body ratios of male and female *B. bendelisis* and *B. vagra* differed but not significantly. Barring length of rostral barbel, the body depth, length of maxillary barbel, distance between pectoral and pelvic fin and length of caudal fin differed significantly (P>0.05) among *B. bendelisis* and *B. vagra* indicating definite demarcations in the morphology of *B. bendelisis* and *B. vagra*. On the basis of morphometric analysis, *B. bendelisis* with 54.5% of genetically controlled characters in males and 50% in females shows relatively wider distribution and greater tendency of subspeciation than *B. vagra* with 63.9% genetically controlled characters in males and 59.1% in females.

## Acknowledgments

The first author acknowledges the institutional facilities provided by the Director, National Bureau of Fish Genetic Resources, Canal Ring Road, Dilkusha, Lucknow. The coauthor is thankful to the Head, Department of Zoology, University of Allahabad, Allahabad for providing the laboratory facilities and academic guidance.

### References

- Badola, S.P., H.R. Singh and A.K. Dobriyal. 1982. Note on sexual dimorphism in *Barilius bendelisis* (Ham.). *Indian Journal of Animal Sciences* 52(12): 1284-1286.
- Barlow, W. 1961. Causes and significance of morphological variation in the fishes. *Systema Zoologica* 10: 105-117.
- Bhatt, J.P., P. Nautiyal and H.R. Singh. 1998. Racial structure of Himalayan mahseer, *Tor putitora* (Ham.) in the river Ganga between Rishikesh and Hardwar. *Indian Journal of Animal Sciences* 68 (6): 587-590.

Brown, W.L. and E.O. Wilson. 1956. Character displacement. Systema Zoologica 5: 49-64.

Chatterjee, A., A.Q. Siddique and A.A. Khan. 1977. Morphometric studies on *Labeo bata* (Ham.) from the different freshwater environments. *Indian Journal of Animal Sciences* 11 (1): 47-49.

Day. F. 1878. The Fishes of India. William Dawson and Sons Ltd. 590-591.

Dobriyal, A.K. and A.A. Bahuguna. 1987. Morphometric characters and their relationship in the hillstream loach, *Noemacheilus montanus*. *Himalayan Journal of Environmental Zoology* 1: 23-27. 346

- Godsil, H.C. 1948. A preliminary population study of the yellow fin tuna and albacroe. *Fish Bulletin. 1-* 70.
- Hampel, G. and J.H.S. Blaxter. 1963. The experimental modification of meristic characters in herring (*Clupea harengus L.*). Journal of Council Permanent International Exploration Mer 26 (3): 1-6.
- Hopkirk, J.D. 1973. Endemism in fishes of the Dear lake region of central California. University of California Publication Zoology 96: 1-135.
- Hubbs, C.L. 1921. Geographic variation of *Notemigonus crysoleucas* on American minnows. *Transactions I llinois St. Academy of Science* (II) 1018: 147-151.
- Hubbs, C.L. 1926. The structural consequences of modifications of the development rate in fishes considered in reference to certain problems of evolution. *Animal Naturalist.* 60: 57-81.
- Jayaram, K.C. 1981. The Freshwater Fishes of India, Pakistan, Burma and SriLanka. Zoological Survey of India, Aurbindo Press, Calcutta. 1-475.
- Johal, M.S., K.K. Tondon and G.S. Sandhu 1994. Mahseer in Lacustrine waters, Gobindsagar reservoir- Morphometry of *Tor putitora*, pp B 67-85. In: P. Nautiyal (ed.). Mahseer The Game Fish. Jagdamba Prakashan, Dehradun for RACHNA, Srinagar (Garhwal).
- Khumar, F. (Unpublished, 1985). The biology of *Puntius sarana* (Ham.) and *Labeo calbasu* (Ham.) of same freshwater ecosystems in North India Ph.D. Thesis submitted to the Aligarh Muslim University, Aligarh, India.
- Kirka, A. 1974. Variation in somatic characters of the brown trout (*Salmo trutta fario* Linn 1758) in the head water of the Poprad river (High Tatra Mts.) *Zoologik listy* 23 (1): 85-94.
- Marr, A.C. 1955. The use of morphometric data on systematic and relative growth studies in fishes, *Copiea.* 13: 23-41.
- Mc Hugh, A. 1954. Geographic variation in Pacific herring, Copeia (2): 139-151.
- Pandey, S.K and P. Nautiyal. 1997. Statistical evaluation of some meristic and morphometric characters of taxonomic significance in *Schizothorax richardsonii* (Gray) and *Schizothorax plagiostomus* (Heckel). *Indian Journal of Fisheries*. 44 (1): 75-79.
- Pillay, T.V.R. 1957. A morphometric study of Hilsa, *Hilsa ilisha* (Ham.) of the river Hooghly and the Chilka lake. *Indian Journal of Fisheries* 4: 344-356.
- Pivnicka, K and A. Hensel. 1978 Production of perch and roach fry in the Klucana reservoir. *Vestnic Ceskoslovenske Spolechosti Zoologicke* XLIII(1): 43-47.
- Pritchard, A.L. 1931. Taxonomic and life-history studies of Ciscoes lake. Ontaria University, Toronto. *Publication Ont Fisheries Research Laboratory* 44:1-78.
- Sarojini, K.K. 1957. Biology and Fisheries of the grey mullet of Bengal. I. Biology of *Mugil parsia* (Ham.) with notes on its Fishery in Bengal. *Indian Journal of Fisheries* 4: 160-207.
- Singh, H.R. and A.K. Dobriyal. 1983. Morphometric characters and their relationship in the catfish *Pseudecheneis sulcatus* (McClelland). *Indian Journal of Animal Sciences* 53 (5): 541-546.
- Srivastava, G. 1992. *Fishes of U.P. and Bihar*. Vishwavidyalaya Prakashan, Chowk, Varanasi, India, 142 pp.
- Talwar, P.K. and A.G. Jhingran. 1991. *Indian fishes*. Vol. 1 Oxford IBH Publishing Co Pvt. Ltd. New Delhi. 1-541.
- Tamubi Devi, N., F. Kumar and M.S. Siddiqui. 1991. Observations on the morphometric characters of the catfish, *Rita rita* (Hamilton) from the river Yamuna in North *Indian Journal of Inland Fisheries Society* 23(1): 52-58.
- Tandon, K.K. 1962. Biology and fishery of *Chooparai seleropoides leptolepis* (C. and V.). *Indian Journal of Fisheries* 8: 127-144.
- Tandon, K.K., M.S. Johal and S. Kaur. 1989 Remark on the age and growth of Labeo calbasu (Pisces Cyprinidae) from Rajasthan India. Vestnic Ceskoslovenske Spolechosti Zoologicke 53: 153-160.
- Tandon, K.K., M.S. Johal and S. Bala. 1993a. Morphometry of *Cirrhinus reba* (Ham.) from Kanjli wetland, Punjab India. *Research Bulletin, Punjab University* 43(1-4): 76-79.
- Tandon, K.K., M.S. Johal and M. Mahajan. 1993b. Morphometry, length-weight relationship, age and growth of *Gudusia chapra* (Ham.) from two different localities Rajasthan state, India, *Research Bulletin, Punjab University*. 43(1-4): 87-104.
  - Vladykov, V.D. 1934. Environmental and taxonomic characters of fishes. *Transaction Research* 20: 99-140.